



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/733,648

12/12/2003

Willem Polak

1029.0001

1631

68540

7590

02/05/2010

O'BRIEN JONES, PLLC

1951 Kidwell Drive

Suite 550 B

Tyson's Corner, VA 22182

EXAMINER

THOMAS, ERIC M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3714

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/05/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@OBRIENJONES.COM

susanne.jones@obrienjones.com

michelle.obrien@obrienjones.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/733,648	Applicant(s) POLAK ET AL.	
	Examiner Eric M. Thomas	Art Unit 3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 May 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 63-82 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 63-82 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This is in response to the amendments filed on 5/1/09; claims 1 – 62 have been cancelled and claims 63 – 82 have been added. Claims 63 – 82 are now pending in the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 63 – 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nulph (U.S. 6,203,011) in view of Pollock et al. (U.S. 6,305,687).

Regarding claims 63, 64, 72, and 73, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein one embodiment of the lottery game is that the lottery ticket may be a prepaid game card (col. 9, lines 15 - 16). Nulph further discloses that the game ticket includes a plurality of play spots wherein each play spot has a value selected from a set of values wherein the values are distributed randomly on the tickets wherein the play spots may be lottery play numbers that is generated by the controlling authority (abstract and col. 4, lines 45 - 47). This is viewed by the examiner as a prepaid

Art Unit: 3714

wagering card that includes one or more wagering entries, wherein each entry comprises a set of randomly generated numbers corresponding to a format of a predetermined lottery and configured to identify whether the wagering card includes a winning entry. Nulph further discloses that once a user has purchased the ticket, the controlling authority is contacted and an indication of a unique identifier is transmitted to the controlling authority, wherein this process may be done electronically (col. 5, line 64 - col. 6, line 4). The examiner views this as meeting the claim limitation of the wagering card having activation information configured to electronically activate the wagering card, wherein this process is a prerequisite to playing one or more entries in the lottery. Nulph further teaches another type of player-activated lottery feature wherein the player has the advantage of purchasing a ticket at one time and then activate the ticket at a later time. The examiner views this as meeting the claim limitation of the wagering information being configured to facilitate playing one or more wagering entries at a time other than the time of purchase of the wagering card. Nulph further teaches in fig. 4, that the wagering is configured to display activation information, (fig. 4, part 412), wherein Nulph further discloses that initially all play spots are covered, wherein the removable covering of a covered play spot has printed thereon a symbol that identifies a play spot, wherein the uncovered play spot would show the lottery number that the user desires to play using the wagering card (col. 6, lines 19 - 28), which is viewed by the examiner as the wagering entries being pre-printed on the wagering card and concealed prior to purchase of the wagering card, but Nulph is silent on the issue of the wagering entries not

Art Unit: 3714

being selected by the player. In a related art, however, Pollock provides a lottery-type game of chance that employs a ticket, wherein each ticket includes a unique pattern of numbers which differs from others in a set of the tickets, wherein the numbers are covered, prior to play, with a removable cover member, wherein the game is played by employing a random number generator, (abstract), wherein Pollock further discloses in fig. 3a, an event wherein the cover member is removed to display the concealed numerals which constitutes the playing numbers of the game, (col. 4, lines 20 - 26), wherein it is further taught by Pollock that the playing numbers are pre-selected by the random number generator (col. 2, lines 40 - 46), which is viewed by the examiner as the wagering entries not being selected by the player. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Pollock into the art disclosed by Nulph in order to provide a new and improved seal card game which employs randomly drawn numbers in its play, wherein the winners are not known until a random number generator has chosen a plurality of numbers which are reflected in a predetermined pattern located in a window of a concealed section of the wagering card game.

Regarding claims 65, 66, 74, and 75, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein, as stated above, Nulph further teaches another type of player-activated lottery feature wherein the player has the advantage of purchasing a ticket at one time and then activate the

Art Unit: 3714

ticket at a later time. The examiner views this as the player not having to select a wagering date when the wagering card is purchased.

Regarding claims 67 and 76, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein Nulph further discloses that the lottery ticket may have a redemption value, wherein in the event that the player wins a prize, the user redeems the ticket in order to receive the prize (col. 6, lines 5 - 11), wherein as shown figs. 4 – 7, the wagering card comprising a machine-readable bar code. The examiner views this as meeting the claim limitation of electronically redeeming the prepaid wagering card for prize if the wagering information identifies a winning entry.

Regarding claims 68 and 77, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein Nulph includes an aspect of authenticating a wagering card, wherein a plurality of verification spots are printed on each of the plurality of tickets, (col. 2, lines 43 – 48), which is viewed by the examiner as the wagering card being configured to prevent a tampering issue wherein a user may attempt to redeem a wagering card for a prize before wagering has been activated.

Regarding claims 69 and 78, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein Nulph further discloses an embodiment that is configured to allow a user to play an interactive televised

Art Unit: 3714

program that includes a ticket having game instructions printed thereon, wherein an example of this is shown in fig. 9, wherein the player is given a desired to participate in the game, wherein as shown in fig. 9, the desired date is shown on part 930, wherein the date instructions are further shown on part 912. This is viewed by the examiner as meeting the claim limitation of the wagering card displaying timing information representing a predetermined period of time for which the wagering card is valid.

Regarding claims 70 and 79, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein Nulph further discloses that the wagering card includes identification information (part 442 of fig. 4).

Regarding claim 71, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein Nulph further provides a prepaid wagering card that is capable of having the activation or redemption information in a machine – readable form that includes at least a bar code or magnetic strip and is capable of being read by a reading device other a specific lottery terminal (col. 4, lines 3 – 6 and col. 6, lines 46 – 50).

Regarding claims 80 - 82, Nulph provides a lottery game system and method that discloses a plurality of play spots being printed on each lottery ticket of a plurality of lottery tickets, (abstract), wherein a record of the unique identifier and the values of play spots for each ticket are stored in a database (col. 2, lines 2 – 4).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 63 - 82 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1699. The examiner can normally be reached on 7a.m. - 3p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hotaling can be reached on (571) 272-4437. The

Art Unit: 3714

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John M Hotaling II/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714